

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HUSSEIN KIETTY ALI,  
Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREWS, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:25-cv-00578-KES-GSA (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Doc. 11

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On June 27, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order directing plaintiff to show cause within fourteen (14) days why the action should not be dismissed as untimely. Doc. 8. Specifically, the magistrate judge noted that plaintiff's action is barred by the applicable statute of limitations. *Id.* at 4. Plaintiff did not respond to the order. On July 22, 2025, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed with prejudice as untimely. Doc. 11. The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. *Id.* at 5. Plaintiff has not filed objections and the time to do so has passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court conducted a de

1 novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file the Court concludes that the findings  
2 and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

3 Accordingly:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations issued July 22, 2025, Doc. 11, are ADOPTED IN  
5 FULL;
- 6 2. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice;
- 7 3. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, Doc. 2, is DENIED as moot; and
- 8 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

9  
10  
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: August 24, 2025

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE